2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-SB107)

Received: 09/22/2009 Wanted: Today For: Michael Huebsch (608) 266-0631 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					Received By: btradewe			
					Identical to LRB:			
					By/Representing: Elise Nelson			
					Drafter: btradewe Addl. Drafters:			
Submit	via email: YES							
Request	ter's email:	Rep.Huebs	ch@legis.w	visconsin.gov	,			
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre To	pic:							
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Delay sl	hortfall fees unt	il 2012						
Instruc	etions:							
See top	ic, part of 09a07	/62/1						
Draftin	ng History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	btradewe 09/22/2009	jdyer 09/22/2009						
/1			phenry 09/22/20	09	mbarman 09/22/2009	mbarman 09/22/2009		
FE Sent	t For:							

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-SB107)

Received: 09/22/2009	Received By: btradewe
----------------------	-----------------------

Wanted: **Today** Identical to LRB:

For: Michael Huebsch (608) 266-0631 By/Representing: Elise Nelson

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **btradewe**

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Environment - recycling Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Huebsch@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Delay shortfall fees until 2012

Instructions:

See topic, part of 09a0762/1

Drafting History:

Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required

/? btradewe / $\frac{9}{1}$

FE Sent For:



10

12

State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

Dow



ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT, TO 2009 SENATE BILL 107

Mite

At the locations indicated, amend the bill, as shown by senate substitute 1 2 amendment 1, as follows: **1.** Page 13, line 10: substitute "2012" for "2010". 3 **2.** Page 13, line 11: delete "(c) or". 4 **3.** Page 13, line 12: delete "those provisions" and substitute "that provision". 5 **4.** Page 13, line 15: delete the material beginning with that line and ending 6 7 with page 14, line 14. **5.** Page 14, line 15: substitute "2011" for "2010". 8 **6.** Page 14, line 16: substitute "2011" for "2010". 9

9. Page 15, line 17: after "year" insert "beginning with program year 2011–12".

7. Page 14, line 21: substitute "0.6" for "0.8

8. Page 14, line 24: delete "1.".

- 1 **10.** Page 15, line 18: delete "1. or".
- 2 **11.** Page 15, line 19: delete "2.".
- 3 **12.** Page 15, line 19: delete "(c) 1. or".
 - 13. Page 15, line 21: delete "or 20 percent of the target recycling weight,

whichever is less".

14. Page 16, line 1: delete "1.".

15. Page 16, line 7: substitute "1.5" for "1.25".

16. Page 16, line 8: delete lines 8 to 14.

9 **17.** Page 16, line 14: after that line, on page 1, line 8, of the material inserted

by senate amendment 1, delete "(c) 1. or".

11 **18.** Page 18, line 4: substitute "2013" for "2011".

19. Page 18, line 10: after "2." insert ", which may not exceed 25 percent of the

target weight determined under par. (d) 1.".

14 (END)

nute

Drafter's Note from the Legislative Reference Bureau

LRBa076247Nm RCT:jld:ph

beprember 21, 2009 / 3 new State

Elise Nelson:

This amendment makes the changes (to the bill passed by the Senate) described in your message sent at 10:58 a.m. on Friday, September 18, as I understand them.

Under the bill as passed by the Senate (which consists of Senate Substitute Amendment 1, with Senate Amendment 1 thereto), manufacturers who failed to meet recycling targets would have to pay shortfall fees beginning in 2010. Under this amendment, manufacturers would not be required to pay shortfall fees until 2012. The bill eliminates the language concerning recycling targets and shortfall fees for 2010.

Under the bill as passed by the Senate, a manufacturer's target recycling weight is determined by multiplying the weight of the manufacturer's covered electronic devices sold in a specified prior year by 0.8. This amendment changes the multiplier to 0.6.

Under the bill as passed by the Senate, in determining whether a manufacturer met its target recycling weight, the manufacturer could count each pound of electronic waste collected in a rural county as 1.25 pounds. Under this amendment, each pound of electronic waste collected in a rural county may be counted as 1.5 pounds.

Under the bill as passed by the Senate, a manufacturer that exceeded its target recycling weight received one recycling credit for each pound of electronic waste over the target weight, but the number of credits is capped at 20 percent of the target weight. This amendment eliminates the 20 percent cap, but provides that in any program year a manufacturer may not satisfy more than 25 percent of its target weight requirement using credits.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rebecca C. Tradewell Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7290

E-mail: becky.tradewell@legis.wisconsin.gov

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0776/1dn RCT;jld:ph

September 22, 2009

Elise Nelson:

Under the bill as passed by the Senate (which consists of Senate Substitute Amendment 1, with Senate Amendment 1 thereto), manufacturers who failed to meet recycling targets would have to pay shortfall fees beginning in 2010. Under this amendment, manufacturers would not be required to pay shortfall fees until 2012. The bill eliminates the language concerning recycling targets and shortfall fees for 2010.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rebecca C. Tradewell Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266-7290

E-mail: becky.tradewell@legis.wisconsin.gov